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#### Abstract

The framework of the stochastic calculus of variations on the standard Wiener and Poisson space is extended to certain martingales, consistently with other approaches. The method relies on changes of times for the gradient operators. We study the transfer of the structures of stochastic analysis induced by these timechanged operators, in particular the chaotic decompositions.
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## 1 Introduction

The stochastic calculus of variations for the Wiener process, initiated in Malliavin ${ }^{12}$, aims to obtain conditions for the regularity of the density of Wiener functionals given by the values of diffusion processes. It also developed as an extension to anticipating processes of the Itô calculus, by means of the Skorohod integral, cf. Nualart-Pardoux ${ }^{14}$, Üstünel ${ }^{25}$. In the case of point processes we can refer for instance to Bass-Cranston ${ }^{1}$, Bichteler et al. ${ }^{2}$, Bismut ${ }^{3}$, for the absolute continuity of functionals of a Poisson measure, and to Carlen-Pardoux ${ }^{5}$, Nualart-Vives ${ }^{15}$, Privault ${ }^{21}$ for the anticipative stochastic calculus for the standard Poisson process on the positive real line. The chaotic decompositions and the chaotic representation property played a central role in each of these approaches. The aim of this work is to extend those constructions to the case of martingales with a continuous part and a finite number of jump sizes which can be obtained by time changes from independent Wiener and Poisson processes. This approach is consistent with previous constructions and allows to unify them.

## 2 Stochastic calculus of variations on the standard Wiener and Poisson spaces

The aim of this section is to review some facts and definitions that will serve as starting points for the next sections. Let $\left(B_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathrm{R}_{+}}$and $\left(N_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathrm{R}_{+}}$be not
necessarily independent Wiener and Poisson processes on the real line, defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, with associated filtrations $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}^{B}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}^{\tilde{N}}\right)$, $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{\infty}^{B} \vee \mathcal{F}_{\infty}^{\tilde{N}}, \mathcal{F}^{B}=\mathcal{F}_{\infty}^{B}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\tilde{N}}=\mathcal{F}_{\infty}^{\tilde{N}}$, where $\tilde{N}_{t}=N_{t}-t$ is the compensated Poisson process. Let $\left(h_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an orthonormal Hilbert basis of $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)$, and let $\left(e_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$ be the canonical basis of $l^{2}(\mathbf{N})$. We can define two collections of independent identically distributed Gaussian, resp. exponential random variables by $\xi_{k}=\int_{0}^{\infty} h_{k}(t) d B_{t}$ and $\tau_{k}=T_{k+1}-T_{k}, k \in \mathrm{~N}$, where $T_{k}=\inf \{t \geq$ $\left.0: N_{t}=k\right\}, k \geq 1$, is the $k$ th jump time of the Poisson process $\left(N_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathrm{R}_{+}}$, and $T_{0}=0$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ denote the set of functionals of the form

$$
F=f\left(\xi_{0}, \ldots, \xi_{n}, \tau_{0}, \ldots, \tau_{n}\right)
$$

where $f \in \mathcal{C}_{b}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2 n+2}\right), n \in \mathbf{N}$. We know that $\mathcal{P}$ is dense in $L^{p}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P), p \geq 1$. Gradient operators

$$
\partial^{B}, \partial^{\tilde{N}}: L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega) \otimes l^{2}(\mathrm{~N})
$$

are defined as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial^{B} F=\sum_{k=0}^{k=n} e_{k} \partial_{k} f\left(\xi_{0}, \ldots, \xi_{n}, \tau_{0}, \ldots, \tau_{n}\right), \\
\partial^{\tilde{N}} F=\sum_{k=0}^{k=n} e_{k} \partial_{n+1+k} f\left(\xi_{0}, \ldots, \xi_{n}, \tau_{0}, \ldots, \tau_{n}\right), \quad F \in \mathcal{P} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Such gradient operators can be expressed by an infinitesimal perturbation of the trajectories of $\left(B_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathrm{R}_{+}}$and $\left(N_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathrm{R}_{+}}$. Let $F \in \mathcal{P}$ be $\mathcal{F}^{B}$-measurable, i.e. $F$ is a Wiener functional. If we represent by $\omega=\left(\xi_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$ a trajectory of the Wiener process, then

$$
\left(\partial^{B} F, h\right)_{l^{2}(\mathbf{N})}=\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} F(\omega+\varepsilon h)\right|_{\varepsilon=0}, \quad h \in l^{2}(\mathbf{N}), F \in \mathcal{P} .
$$

On the other hand, if $\omega=\left(\tau_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$ denotes the sequence of interjump times of the Poisson process and if $F \in \mathcal{P}$ is $\mathcal{F}^{\tilde{N}}$-measurable, then

$$
\left(\partial^{\tilde{N}} F, h\right)_{l^{2}(\mathbf{N})}=\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} F(\omega+\varepsilon h)\right|_{\varepsilon=0}
$$

Let

$$
\mathcal{U}=\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{k=n} u_{k} e_{k}: u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n} \in \mathcal{P}, n \in \mathrm{~N}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{U}^{\tilde{N}}=\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_{k} e_{k} \in \mathcal{U}: u_{k}=0 \text { on }\left\{\tau_{k}=0\right\}, k \in \mathrm{~N}\right\} .
$$

The adjoint operators $\partial_{*}^{B}, \partial_{*}^{\tilde{N}}$ of $\partial^{B}$ and $\partial^{\tilde{N}}$ are closable operators

$$
\partial_{*}^{B}, \partial_{*}^{\tilde{N}}: L^{2}(\Omega) \otimes l^{2}(\mathrm{~N}) \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)
$$

such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
E\left[F \partial_{*}^{B}(u)\right]=E\left[\left(u, \partial^{B} F\right)_{l^{2}(\mathbf{N})}\right], \quad u \in \mathcal{U}, F \in \mathcal{P}, \\
E\left[F \partial_{*}^{\tilde{N}}(u)\right]=E\left[\left(u, \partial^{\tilde{N}} F\right)_{l^{2}(\mathbf{N})}\right], \quad u \in \mathcal{U}^{\tilde{N}}, F \in \mathcal{P} .
\end{gathered}
$$

At this stage it can be noted that the composition $\partial_{*}^{B} \partial^{B}$ gives the OrnsteinUhlenbeck operator on the Wiener space, cf. Watanabe ${ }^{26}$, and that the gradient $\partial^{B}$ can be used to state a great number of results in Malliavin calculus that involve the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator and the norm of the gradient on the Wiener space. However this construction does not take into account an important property of the Gaussian measure, namely the fact that the adjoint of the gradient on Wiener space can be an extension of the Wiener stochastic integral, cf. Gaveau-Trauber ${ }^{9}$, which can not be the case for $\partial_{*}^{B}$. An analog property exists on the Poisson space, and can not be verified by $\partial_{*}^{\tilde{N}}$ as it acts on discrete-time processes. Define two operators

$$
i^{B}, i^{\tilde{N}}: l^{2}(\mathbf{N}) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)
$$

by $i^{B}\left(e_{k}\right)=h_{k}$ and $i^{\tilde{N}}\left(e_{k}\right)=-1_{\left.1 T_{k}, T_{k+1}\right]}, k \in \mathrm{~N}$, and let

$$
D^{B}=i^{B} \circ \partial^{B}, \quad D^{\tilde{N}}=i^{\tilde{N}} \circ \partial^{\tilde{N}}
$$

Let $j^{B}$ and $j^{\tilde{N}}$ be the adjoint operators of $i^{B}$ and $i^{\tilde{N}}$, a.s. defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(i^{B}(u), v\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathrm{R}_{+}\right)}=\left(u, j^{B}(v)\right)_{l^{2}(\mathbf{N})} \\
& \left(i^{\tilde{N}}(u), v\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathrm{R}_{+}\right)}=\left(u, j^{\tilde{N}}(v)\right)_{l^{2}(\mathbf{N})}
\end{aligned}
$$

$u \in L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right), v \in l^{2}(\mathbf{N})$. An explicit description of $j^{B}$ and $j^{\tilde{N}}$ is given as

$$
j_{k}^{B}(u)=\int_{0}^{\infty} u(t) h_{k}(t) d t, \quad j_{k}^{\tilde{N}}(u)=\int_{T_{k}}^{T_{k+1}} u(t) d t, \quad k \in \mathbf{N} .
$$

The introduction of $i^{B}$ and $j^{B}$ gives another interpretation of $D^{B}$ as a derivative. For $F \in \mathcal{P}$ and $h \in L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)$, with $\omega=\left(\xi_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(D^{B} F, h\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathrm{R}_{+}\right)} \\
& \quad=\left(\partial^{B} F, j^{B}(h)\right)_{l^{2}(\mathbf{N})}=\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} F\left(\omega+\varepsilon j^{B}(h)\right)\right|_{\varepsilon=0} \\
& \quad=\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} F\left(\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(h_{k}(t)+\varepsilon h(t)\right) d B_{t}\right)_{k \in \mathbf{N}}\right)\right|_{\varepsilon=0} \\
& =\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} F\left(B .+\varepsilon \int_{0} h_{s} d s\right)\right|_{\varepsilon=0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\mathcal{V}=i^{B}(\mathcal{U})=\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{k=n} u_{k} h_{k} \quad: \quad u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n} \in \mathcal{P}, n \in \mathrm{~N}\right\},
$$

and $\delta^{B}=\partial_{*}^{B} \circ j^{B}, \delta^{\tilde{N}}=\partial_{*}^{\tilde{N}} \circ j^{\tilde{N}}$. We have $j^{\tilde{N}}(\mathcal{V}) \subset \mathcal{U}^{\tilde{N}}$, hence $\delta^{\tilde{N}}$ is defined on $\mathcal{V}$.
Proposition 1 If $u \in \mathcal{V}$, then for $X=B, \tilde{N}$,

$$
\delta^{X}(u)=\int_{0}^{\infty} u_{t} d X_{t}-\int_{0}^{\infty} D_{t}^{X} u_{t} d t
$$

Proof. cf. Nualart-Pardoux ${ }^{14}$, Privault ${ }^{21}$.
The adjoint operators $\delta^{B}=\partial_{*}^{B} \circ j^{B}$ and $\delta^{\tilde{N}}=\partial_{*}^{\tilde{N}} \circ j^{\tilde{N}}$ of $D^{B}$ and $D^{\tilde{N}}$ extend respectively the Wiener and compensated Poisson stochastic integrals on the predictable square-integrable processes:
Proposition 2 Let $u \in L^{2}(\Omega) \otimes L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)$. If $u$ is $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}^{X}\right)$-predictable, then

$$
\delta^{X}(u)=\int_{0}^{\infty} u(t) d X_{t}
$$

where $X=B, \tilde{N}$.
Proof. cf. Carlen-Pardoux ${ }^{5}$, Nualart-Pardoux ${ }^{14}$.
Remark. The operators $j^{B}$ and $j^{\tilde{N}}$ can also be used to give a unified formulation of the anticipating Girsanov theorems on the Wiener and Poisson spaces. Let $u: \Omega \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)$be $\mathcal{F}^{B}$-measurable and satisfy the hypothesis of Th. 6.4. of Kusuoka ${ }^{11}$, and denote again by $\omega=\left(\xi_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$ a trajectory of the Wiener
process. Then for any $\mathcal{F}^{B}$-measurable and bounded $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[f]= & E\left[f\left(\omega+j^{B}(u)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times \operatorname{det}_{2}\left(I_{l^{2}(\mathbf{N})}+\partial^{B} j^{B}(u)\right) \exp \left(-\delta^{B}(u)-\frac{1}{2}|u|_{L^{2}\left(\mathrm{R}_{+}\right)}^{2}\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{det}_{2}$ is the Carleman-Fredholm determinant. If $\omega=\left(\tau_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$ denotes a discrete interjump times trajectory of the Poisson process, then for any $\mathcal{F}^{\tilde{N}_{-}}$ measurable and bounded $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$,

$$
E[f]=E\left[f\left(\omega+j^{\tilde{N}}(u)\right) \operatorname{det}_{2}\left(I_{l^{2}(\mathbf{N})}+\partial^{\tilde{N}} j^{\tilde{N}}(u)\right) \exp \left(-\delta^{\tilde{N}}(u)\right)\right]
$$

provided that $j^{\tilde{N}}(u)$ is $\mathcal{F}^{N}$-measurable and satisfies the hypothesis of Th. 1 in Privault ${ }^{19}$.

If $Y$ is either a compensated Poisson or Wiener process, define for $f_{p} \in$ $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)^{\circ p}$ symmetric and square-integrable

$$
I_{p}^{Y}\left(f_{p}\right)=p!\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{t_{p}^{-}} \cdots \int_{0}^{t_{2}^{-}} f_{p}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{p}\right) d Y\left(t_{1}\right) \cdots d Y\left(t_{p}\right)
$$

If $Y=B$, such integrals can be expressed with the Hermite polynomials $\left(H_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathrm{~N}}$ as

$$
I_{n}^{B}\left(h_{k_{1}}^{\circ n_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ h_{k_{d}}^{\circ n_{d}}\right)=\sqrt{n_{1}!\cdots n_{d}!} H_{n_{1}}\left(\xi_{k_{1}}\right) \cdots H_{n_{d}}\left(\xi_{k_{d}}\right),
$$

$n_{1}+\cdots+n_{d}=n, k_{1} \neq \cdots \neq k_{d}$, where "०" denotes the symmetric tensor product. In case $Y=\tilde{N}$, the Charlier polynomials replace the Hermite polynomials, cf. Surgailis ${ }^{24}$. An annihilation operator $\nabla^{Y}: L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega) \otimes L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)$is defined as

$$
\nabla^{Y} I_{n}^{Y}\left(f_{n}\right)=n I_{n-1}^{Y}\left(f_{n}\right), \quad n \geq 1
$$

and $\nabla^{Y} c=0$ if $c \in \mathbf{R}$. A creation operator $\nabla_{*}^{Y}: L^{2}(\Omega) \otimes L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)$ is defined as

$$
\nabla_{*}^{Y} I_{n}^{Y}\left(f_{n+1}\right)=I_{n+1}^{Y}\left(\hat{f}_{n+1}\right), \quad f_{n+1} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)^{\circ n} \otimes L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)
$$

where $\hat{f}_{n+1}$ is the symmetrization of the function $f_{n+1}$ in its $n+1$ variables. The operator $L^{Y}=\delta^{Y} D^{Y}$ is a number operator, i.e.

$$
L^{Y} I_{n}^{Y}\left(f_{n}\right)=n I_{n}^{Y}\left(f_{n}\right), \quad n \in \mathbf{N}, f_{n} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)^{\circ n}
$$

Proposition 3 The operator $\nabla_{*}^{Y}$ coincides with the stochastic integral with respect to $Y$ on the predictable square-integrable processes.
Proof. In the Gaussian case, $D^{B}=\nabla^{B}$, and this result is identical to Prop. 2. In the Poisson case, this can be found in Nualart-Vives ${ }^{15}$.

A consequence of Prop. 2 and 3 is the following formula, cf. Privault ${ }^{21}$. For $F \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(D^{\tilde{N}}\right) \cap \operatorname{Dom}\left(\nabla^{\tilde{N}}\right)$,

$$
E\left[D_{t}^{\tilde{N}} F \mid \mathcal{F}_{t^{-}}^{\tilde{N}}\right]=E\left[\nabla_{t}^{\tilde{N}} F \mid \mathcal{F}_{t^{-}}^{\tilde{N}}\right], \quad d t \otimes d P \text { a.e. }
$$

For $Y=B, \tilde{N}$, the space $L^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}^{Y}\right)$ admits the orthogonal decomposition

$$
L^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\infty}^{Y}\right)=\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} C_{n}
$$

where $C_{n}=\left\{I_{n}^{Y}\left(f_{n}\right): f_{n} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)^{\circ n}\right\}$ is the $n$th order chaos, generated by the multiple stochastic integral $I_{n}^{Y}$. If $Y=B$, resp. $Y=\tilde{N}$, we refer to this decomposition as the Wiener-Hermite, resp. Poisson-Charlier chaotic decomposition. We now mention a transfer principle which allows to state on the Poisson space most results of the Malliavin calculus, using the operators $D^{\tilde{N}}$ and $\delta^{\tilde{N}}$. Assume until the end of this section that $\left(B_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathrm{R}_{+}}$and $\left(N_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathrm{R}_{+}}$ are not independent, but linked by the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{k}=\frac{\xi_{2 k}^{2}+\xi_{2 k+1}^{2}}{2}, \quad k \in \mathrm{~N} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which does not change anything to the fact that $\left(N_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathrm{R}_{+}}$is a Poisson process, since the half sum of the squares of two Gaussian normal random variables is exponentially distributed. Then the following properties are satisfied, cf. Privault ${ }^{20}$.
Proposition 4 We have for $F \in \mathcal{P}$

$$
\delta^{B} D^{B} F=2 \delta^{\tilde{N}} D^{\tilde{N}} F, \quad \text { and } \quad\left|D^{B} F\right|_{L^{2}\left(\mathrm{R}_{+}\right)}^{2}=2\left|D^{\tilde{N}} F\right|_{L^{2}\left(\mathrm{R}_{+}\right)}^{2}, \text { a.s. }
$$

As a consequence, any result that uses the norm of $D^{B}$ and the operator $\delta^{B} D^{B}$ can be stated on the Poisson space, using the norm of $D^{\tilde{N}}$ and $\delta^{\tilde{N}} D^{\tilde{N}}$.
Let us now write the Wiener-Hermite chaotic decomposition of $F \in \mathcal{P}, F$ measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}^{\tilde{N}}$ (i.e. $F$ is a Poisson functional):

$$
F=E[F]+\sum_{n \geq 1} I_{n}^{B}\left(f_{n}\right)
$$

The Laguerre polynomials are defined as

$$
L_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{k=n} C_{n}^{k} \frac{(-x)^{k}}{k!}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}_{+}
$$

they are orthogonal for the exponential density.
Proposition 5 The space of Poisson functionals in the $2 n t h$ Wiener chaos $C_{2 n}$ is the completion of the vector space generated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\prod_{i=1}^{i=d} I_{2 n_{i}}^{B}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{k=n_{i}}\binom{n_{i}}{k} h_{2 k_{i}}^{\circ 2 k} \circ h_{2 k_{i}+1}^{\circ 2 n_{i}-2 k}\right)\right. \\
& \left.: n_{1}+\cdots+n_{d}=\frac{n}{2}, k_{1} \neq \cdots \neq k_{d}, d \in \mathrm{~N}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
C_{2 n+1} \bigcap L^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\tilde{N}}\right)=\{0\}, \quad n \in \mathrm{~N}
$$

For even $n$, if $I_{n}^{B}\left(f_{n}\right)$ is a Poisson functional it can be represented in terms of multidimensional Laguerre polynomials as the linear combination

$$
I_{n}^{B}\left(f_{n}\right)=\sum_{\substack{ \\n_{1}+\cdots+n_{p}=n \\ k_{1} \neq \cdots \neq k_{p}}} g\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{p}\right) L_{n_{1}}\left(\tau_{k_{1}}\right) \cdots L_{n_{p}}\left(\tau_{k_{p}}\right) .
$$

Proof. The proof relies on the following relation between the Laguerre and Hermite polynomials:

$$
n!L_{n}\left(\frac{x^{2}+y^{2}}{2}\right)=\frac{(-1)^{n}}{2^{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{k=n} \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} \sqrt{(2 k)!(2 n-2 k)!} H_{2 k}(x) H_{2 n-2 k}(y)
$$

cf. Erdélyi ${ }^{8}$, and the fact that the set $\left\{L_{n}\left(\tau_{k}\right): k, n \in \mathrm{~N}\right\}$ is total in $L^{2}(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$.

A consequence of this proposition is that the projection on the $2 n$th order Wiener chaos of a Poisson functional can be represented as a discrete stochastic integral, defined below.
Definition 1 We define $J_{n}: l^{2}(\mathbf{N})^{\circ n} \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\tilde{N}}\right)$ as a linear functional with

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{n}\left(e_{k_{1}}^{\circ n_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ e_{k_{p}}^{\circ n_{p}}\right)=n_{1}!\cdots n_{p}!L_{n_{1}}\left(\tau_{k_{1}}\right) \cdots L_{n_{p}}\left(\tau_{k_{p}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$n_{1}+\cdots+n_{p}=n, k_{1} \neq \cdots \neq k_{p}$.

The maps $J_{n}: l^{2}(\mathbf{N})^{\circ n} \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^{\tilde{N}}, P\right)$ are linear, bounded, and $J_{n}\left(g_{n}\right)$ is orthogonal to $J_{m}\left(g_{m}\right)$ for $n \neq m$. Moreover, each square-integrable Poisson functional has the decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} J_{n}\left(g_{n}\right), \quad g_{k} \in l^{2}(\mathbf{N})^{\circ k}, k \in \mathbf{N} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

referred to as the Poisson-Laguerre chaotic decomposition. On the Wiener space, it is known that the notions of derivation defined by infinitesimal perturbations and by annihilation coincide, i.e. $D^{B}=\nabla^{B}$ and $\delta^{B}=\nabla_{*}^{B}$. The situation is different on the Poisson space, and more generally for point processes. The operators $D^{\tilde{N}}$ and $\delta^{\tilde{N}}$ are related to the Wiener-Hermite decomposition by the isometry property Prop. 4, and to the Poisson-Laguerre decomposition by the relations, cf. Privault ${ }^{21}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\partial^{\tilde{N}} J_{n}\left(g_{n}\right), e_{i}\right)_{l^{2}(\mathbf{N})}=\sum_{k=0}^{k=n-1} \frac{n!}{k!} J_{k}\left(g_{n}(*, i \ldots, i)\right), \quad g_{n} \in l^{2}(\mathbf{N})^{\circ n}, \\
\partial_{*}^{\tilde{N}} J_{n}\left(g_{n+1}\right)=J_{n+1}\left(\hat{g}_{n+1}\right)-n J_{n}\left(\hat{g}_{n+1}^{1}\right), \quad g_{n+1} \in l^{2}(\mathbf{N})^{\circ n} \otimes l^{2}(\mathbf{N})
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\hat{g}$ denotes the symmetrization of $g$ and $g_{n+1}^{1}$ is the contraction defined as

$$
g_{n+1}^{1}\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)=\hat{g}_{n+1}\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}, k_{n}\right), \quad k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}
$$

## 3 A generalization to certain martingales by change of time

Consider a real square-integrable martingale on a filtered probability space $\left(\Omega,\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right), \mathcal{F}_{\infty}, P\right)$ with a continuous part $X_{0}$ and independent compensated sums $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}$ of jumps of sizes $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d} \in \mathbf{R}$ respectively:

$$
M=X_{0}+\sum_{k=1}^{k=d} X_{k}-\nu_{k}
$$

Let $\nu_{0}=<X_{0}>$ denote the quadratic variation of $X_{0}$. Assume also that $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$ is generated by $M$, that the processes $X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}$ are independent, and that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{k}(t)=\infty, k=0, \ldots, d, P$-a.s. We know, cf. Ikeda-Watanabe ${ }^{10}$, that there is a Brownian motion $B$ and $N^{1}, \ldots, N^{d}$ independent standard Poisson processes such that $X_{0}=B_{\nu_{0}}, X^{k}=z_{k} N_{\nu_{k}}^{k}, k=1, \ldots, d$. Let us call $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}^{0}\right)$, $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}^{1}\right), \ldots,\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}^{d}\right)$ the filtrations generated by $\left(B_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathrm{R}_{+}},\left(N_{t}^{1}\right)_{t \in \mathrm{R}_{+}}, \ldots,\left(N_{t}^{d}\right)_{t \in \mathrm{R}_{+}}$. Denote by $\nu_{0}^{-1}, \cdots, \nu_{d}^{-1}$ the right-continuous inverses of $\nu_{0}, \ldots, \nu_{d}$ :

$$
\nu_{k}^{-1}(t)=\inf \left\{s \geq 0: \nu_{k}(s)>t\right\}, \quad k=0, \ldots, d
$$

We make the following hypothesis:
$(\mathbf{H 1})$ The processes $\nu_{0}^{-1}, \ldots, \nu_{d}^{-1}$ are respectively $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}^{0}\right), \ldots,\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}^{d}\right)$-adapted.
(H2) The trajectories of $\nu_{0}^{-1}, \ldots, \nu_{d}^{-1}$ are continuous and strictly increasing. Two consequences of those hypothesis are stated in the lemma below.
Lemma 1 We have $\mathcal{F}_{\infty}=\mathcal{F}_{\infty}^{0} \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{F}_{\infty}^{d}$, and

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\nu_{k}^{-1}(t)} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\infty}^{0} \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{F}_{\infty}^{k-1} \vee \mathcal{F}_{t}^{k} \vee \mathcal{F}_{\infty}^{k+1} \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{F}_{\infty}^{d}, \quad k=0, \ldots, d
$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove this result for $d=0$. In this case, $M=B_{\nu_{0}}$ implies $\mathcal{F}_{t} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\nu_{0}(t)}^{0}$ since $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$ is generated by $M$, and $\mathcal{F}_{\infty}=\mathcal{F}_{\infty}^{0}$. We also have $\mathcal{F}_{\nu_{0}^{-1}(t)} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\nu_{0}\left(\nu_{0}^{-1}(t)\right)}^{0}$. If $A \in \mathcal{F}_{\nu_{0}\left(\nu_{0}^{-1}(t)\right)}^{0}$, then $A=\bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{Q}} A \cap\left\{\nu_{0}^{-1}(t) \leq\right.$ $\left.s \leq \nu_{0}^{-1}(u)\right\}, u>t$. Hence $A \in \mathcal{F}_{t}^{0}$ by right-continuity of the filtration $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}^{0}\right)$.

We define an extension of the notion of Cameron-Martin space as

$$
\mathcal{H}=\left\{u: \mathbf{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{d+1} \text { measurable }:|u|_{H}^{2}=\sum_{k=0}^{k=d} \int_{0}^{\infty} u_{k}^{2}(t) d \nu_{k}(t)<\infty\right\}
$$

and denote by $H$ its equivalence classes for $|\cdot|_{H}$. Similarly, let

$$
\mathcal{L}^{2}(M)=\left\{u: \Omega \times \mathbf{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{d+1} \text { measurable }: E\left[|u|_{H}^{2}\right]<\infty\right\}
$$

and denote by $L^{2}(M)$ the equivalence classes of $\mathcal{L}^{2}(M)$. No adaptedness requirement is made on the elements of $L^{2}(M)$. We now turn to the definition of the gradient by change of time. If $X$ is a point process with jumps of size $z \in \mathbf{R}$ and compensator $\nu$, written as $X=N_{\nu}$, define $i^{X-\nu}: l^{2}(\mathbf{N}) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)$ as

$$
i_{t}^{X-\nu}(u)=z i_{\nu(t)}^{\tilde{N}}(u) \quad t \in \mathbf{R}_{+} .
$$

If $X$ is a continuous martingale with quadratic variation $\nu$, written as $X=B_{\nu}$, let

$$
i_{t}^{X}(u)=i_{\nu(t)}^{B}(u), \quad t \in \mathbf{R}_{+} .
$$

If $M=M_{0}+\cdots+M_{d}$ is a sum of $d+1$ independent martingales of the above type, define $i^{M}: l^{2}\left(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{R}^{d+1}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}, \mathbf{R}^{d+1}\right)$ as

$$
i_{t}^{M}(u)=\left(i_{t}^{M_{1}}\left(u_{0}\right), \ldots, i_{t}^{M_{d}}\left(u_{d}\right)\right)
$$

$u=\left(u_{0}, \ldots, u_{d}\right), t \in \mathbf{R}_{+}$. The operator $i^{M}$ is easily extended to stochastic processes.
Definition 2 Define an operator $D^{M}: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow L^{2}(M)$ by $D^{M}=i^{M} \circ D$.

We notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D^{M} F\right|_{H}^{2}=\left|D^{B} F\right|_{L^{2}\left(\mathrm{R}_{+}\right)}^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{k=d} z_{k}^{2}\left|D^{N^{k}} F\right|_{L^{2}\left(\mathrm{R}_{+}\right)}^{2}, \quad F \in \mathcal{P}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $D^{M}: L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{2}(M)$ is closable. We call $D_{2,1}^{M}$ its domain.
Remark. This definition is consistent with that of Bismut ${ }^{3}$, Decreusefond ${ }^{6}$. For instance, if $M=X_{1}-\nu_{1}$ and $F \in \mathcal{P}$ with $F=f\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)$,

$$
\left(D^{M} F, h\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathrm{R}_{+}\right)}=-\sum_{k=1}^{k=n} \partial_{k} f\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right) \int_{0}^{\nu_{1}^{-1}\left(T_{k}\right)} h(t) d \nu_{1}(t)
$$

which means that $\left(D^{M} F, h\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathrm{R}_{+}\right)}$is defined by perturbation of the $k$ th jump time $\nu_{1}^{-1}\left(T_{k}\right)$ of $X_{1}$ into

$$
\nu_{1}^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{\nu_{1}^{-1}\left(T_{k}\right)}(1+\varepsilon h(t)) d \nu_{1}(t)\right)
$$

Let $j^{M}: L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}, \mathbf{R}^{d+1}\right) \rightarrow l^{2}\left(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{R}^{d+1}\right)$ be the random dual operator of $i^{M}$ with respect to $(\cdot, \cdot)_{H}$, satisfying

$$
\left(j^{M}(u), v\right)_{l^{2}\left(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{R}^{d}\right)}=(i(u), v)_{H}
$$

We have

$$
j^{M}(u)=\left(j^{B}\left(u_{0} \circ \nu_{0}^{-1}\right), j^{\tilde{N}_{1}}\left(u_{1} \circ \nu_{1}^{-1}\right), \ldots, j^{\tilde{N}_{d}}\left(u_{d} \circ \nu_{d}^{-1}\right)\right) .
$$

The adjoint $\delta^{M}$ of $D^{M}$ is defined below. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}^{M} & =i^{M}(\mathcal{U}) \\
& =\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{k=n}\left(u_{k}^{0} h_{k} \circ \nu_{0}, \ldots, u_{k}^{d} h_{k} \circ \nu_{d}\right): u_{k}^{i} \in \mathcal{P}, i=0, \ldots, d, \quad n \in \mathrm{~N}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 3 We define $\delta^{M}: \mathcal{V}^{M} \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)$ by

$$
\delta^{M}(u)=\delta^{B}\left(u_{0} \circ \nu_{0}^{-1}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{k=d} z_{k} \delta^{\tilde{N}^{k}}\left(u_{k} \circ \nu_{k}^{-1}\right) .
$$

It is clear that

$$
E\left[F \delta^{M}(u)\right]=E\left[\left(D^{M} F, u\right)_{H}\right], \quad F \in \mathcal{P}, u \in \mathcal{V}^{M}
$$

hence $\delta^{M}$ is closable and adjoint of $D^{M}$. Moreover, the operator $\delta^{M}$ coincides with the stochastic integral with respect to $M$ on square-integrable predictable processes:
Proposition 6 If $u=\left(u_{0}, \ldots, u_{d}\right) \in L^{2}(M)$ with $u_{k}\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}^{k}\right)$-predictable, $k=$ $0, \ldots, d$, then

$$
\delta^{M}(u)=\int_{0}^{\infty} u^{0}(t) d X_{0}(t)+\sum_{k=1}^{k=d} \int_{0}^{\infty} u_{k}(t) d\left(X_{k}(t)-\nu_{k}(t)\right) .
$$

Proof. In the case $d=0$. If $u_{0}$ is $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}^{0}\right)$-predictable, then $u_{0} \circ \nu_{0}$ is $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}^{B}\right)$ predictable and

$$
\delta^{M}(u)=\delta^{B}\left(u_{0} \circ \nu_{0}\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} u_{0}\left(\nu_{0}(t)\right) d B_{t}=\int_{0}^{\infty} u_{0}(t) d M_{t} .
$$

In particular, if $u \in L^{2}(M)$ is $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$-predictable with

$$
\left.E\left[\sum_{k=0}^{k=d} \int_{0}^{\infty} u(t)^{2} d \nu_{k}(t)\right)\right]<\infty
$$

then

$$
\delta^{M}(u)=\int_{0}^{\infty} u(t) d M_{t}
$$

Proposition 7 In the anticipative case, we have for $u \in \mathcal{V}^{M}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta^{M}(u)= \\
& \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} u_{0}(t) d X_{0}(t)+\sum_{k=1}^{k=d} \int_{0}^{\infty} u_{k}(t) d\left(X_{k}(t)-d \nu_{k}(t)\right) \\
& \quad-\int_{0}^{\infty} D_{t}^{X_{0}}\left(u_{0}\left(\nu_{0}^{-1}(t)\right)\right) d \nu_{0}(t)-\sum_{k=1}^{k=d} \int_{0}^{\infty} D_{t}^{X_{k}-\nu_{k}}\left(u_{k}\left(\nu_{k}^{-1}(t)\right)\right) d \nu_{k}(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We apply Prop. 1.

For $f_{n_{0}, \ldots, n_{d}} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)^{\circ n_{0}} \circ l^{2}(\mathbf{N})^{\circ n_{1}} \circ \ldots \circ l^{2}(\mathbf{N})^{\circ n_{d}}$, define the Wiener-HermiteLaguerre integral $J_{n}\left(f_{n_{0}, \ldots, n_{d}}\right)$ as

$$
J_{n}\left(f_{n_{0}} \circ f_{n_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ f_{n_{d}}\right)=I_{n_{0}}^{B}\left(f_{n_{0}}\right) J_{n_{1}}^{1}\left(f_{n_{1}}\right) \cdots J_{n_{d}}^{d}\left(f_{n_{d}}\right) .
$$

Where $J_{n_{k}}^{k}\left(f_{n_{k}}\right)$ is defined as in Def. 1, for the Poisson process $\tilde{N}_{k}$. Then any square-integrable functional on $\Omega$ has the decomposition

$$
F=\sum_{n_{0}, \ldots, n_{d} \geq 0} J_{n}\left(f_{n_{0}, \ldots, n_{d}}\right),
$$

$f_{n_{0}, \ldots, n_{d}} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)^{\circ n_{0}} \circ l^{2}(\mathbf{N})^{\circ n_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ l^{2}(\mathbf{N})^{\circ n_{d}}$. Next, we notice that this decomposition is preserved by the operator $\delta^{M} D^{M}$ :
Proposition 8 We have $\delta^{M} D^{M}=\delta^{B} D^{B}+\sum_{k=1}^{k=d} z_{k}^{2} \delta^{N^{k}} D^{N^{k}}$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{M} D^{M} J_{n}\left(f_{n_{0}, \ldots, n_{d}}\right)=\left(\sum_{k=0}^{k=d} n_{k} z_{k}^{2}\right) J_{n}\left(f_{n_{0}, \ldots, n_{d}}\right), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n=n_{0}+\cdots+n_{d}$, and $f_{n_{0}, \ldots, n_{d}} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)^{\circ n_{0}} \circ l^{2}(\mathbf{N})^{\circ n_{1}+\cdots+n_{d}}$. (We let $z_{0}=1$ ).

## 4 Absolute continuity results

The aim of this section is to show that from Prop. 4, absolute continuity results can be obtained with $D^{M}$ and $\delta^{M}$ as consequences of their Wiener space counterparts. Sobolev spaces are defined as follows. Let $\|\cdot\|_{p, k}$ be the norm defined as $\|F\|_{p, k}=\left\|\left(I+\mathcal{L}^{M}\right)^{k / 2} F\right\|_{p}$, and denote by $\mathbb{D}_{p, k}^{M}$ the completion of $\mathcal{P}$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{p, k}$, and

$$
\mathbb{D}_{\infty}^{M}=\bigcap_{p>1, k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{D}_{p, k}^{M}
$$

A number of results in stochastic analysis can be expressed with the operators $\delta^{M}$ and $D^{M}$. For the sake of simplicity, those results are stated in the case of R -valued functionals, but can also be obtained in the finite dimensional vector-valued case.
Theorem 1 (Meyer ${ }^{13}$ ) There exists two constants $A, B>0$ such that for any $F \in \mathcal{P}$,

$$
A\left\|\left.D^{M} F\right|_{H} ^{2}\right\|_{p} \leq\|F\|_{p, k} \leq B\left\|\left|D^{M} F\right|_{H}\right\|_{p}
$$

Theorem 2 (Watanabe ${ }^{26}$ ) Let $F \in \mathbb{D}_{\infty}^{M}$ such that

$$
\left|D^{M} F\right|_{H}^{-1} \in \cap_{p>1} L^{p}(\Omega, \mathcal{F})
$$

Then $F$ has a $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ density with respect to the Lebesgue measure.
Theorem 3 (Bouleau-Hirsch ${ }^{4}$ ) Let $F \in \mathbb{D}_{2,1}^{M}$ such that $\left|D^{M} F\right|_{H}>0$ a.s. Then $F$ has a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure.
Those results are directly obtained from their Wiener space counterparts, using the isometry property, cf. Prop. 4, and relations (4), (5) .

## 5 Clark formula and chaotic calculus

Functionals in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ have a Wiener-Hermite-Charlier chaotic decomposition. If $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{p}$ are compensated Poisson or Wiener processes, with $Y_{i}$ independent of $Y_{j}$ if $Y_{i} \neq Y_{j}, i, j \in\{1, \ldots, p\}$, define for $f_{p} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)^{\circ p}$ symmetric and square-integrable

$$
I_{p}^{Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{p}}\left(f_{p}\right)=p!\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{t_{p}^{-}} \cdots \int_{0}^{t_{2}^{-}} f_{p}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{p}\right) d Y_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) \cdots d Y_{p}\left(t_{p}\right)
$$

With the notation $Y_{0}=B, Y_{k}(t)=N_{k}(t)-t, k=1, \ldots, d$, any $F \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ can be written as

$$
F=E[F]+\sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{\theta \in \Theta_{n}} I_{n}^{Y_{\theta(1)}, \ldots, Y_{\theta(n)}}\left(f_{\theta}\right)
$$

where $\Theta_{n}$ is the set of all applications from $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ into $\{0, \ldots, d\}$, cf. Dellacherie et al. ${ }^{7}$. We can define an operator

$$
\nabla^{M}: L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega) \otimes L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}, \mathbf{R}^{d+1}\right)
$$

by $\nabla^{M} F=i^{M} \circ \nabla F$. The adjoint of this operator is given by

$$
\nabla_{*}^{M}(u)=\nabla_{*}^{B}\left(u_{0} \circ \nu_{0}^{-1}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{i=d} z_{i} \nabla_{*}^{\tilde{N}^{i}}\left(u_{i} \circ \nu_{i}^{-1}\right)
$$

This operator extends the stochastic integral with respect to $M$ on the predictable processes in $L^{2}(M)$ as in Prop. 6, and the composition $\nabla_{*}^{M} \nabla^{M}$ satisfies

$$
\nabla_{*}^{M} \nabla^{M} I_{n}^{Y_{\theta(1)}, \ldots, Y_{\theta(n)}}\left(f_{n}\right)=\left(\sum_{k=0}^{k=n} z_{\theta(k)}^{2}\right) I_{n}^{Y_{\theta(1)}, \ldots, Y_{\theta(n)}}\left(f_{n}\right) .
$$

The Clark formula can also be written with the operator $D^{X}$ or $\nabla^{X}$.

Proposition 9 Let $F \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(D^{M}\right)$. We have if $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\infty}^{B}$ :

$$
F=E[F]+\int_{0}^{\infty} E\left[D_{t}^{X_{0}} F \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right] d X_{0}(t)
$$

If $F$ is $\mathcal{F}_{\infty}^{k}$ measurable,

$$
F=E[F]+\int_{0}^{\infty} E\left[D_{t}^{X^{k}-\nu_{k}} F \mid \mathcal{F}_{t^{-}}\right] d\left(X_{k}(t)-d \nu_{k}(t)\right) .
$$

If $F \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(\nabla^{M}\right)$ and is $\mathcal{F}_{\infty}^{k}$-measurable,

$$
F=E[F]+\int_{0}^{\infty} E\left[\nabla_{t}^{X^{k}} F \mid \mathcal{F}_{t^{-}}\right] d\left(X_{k}(t)-d \nu_{k}(t)\right)
$$

Proof. We do the proof for $d=0$. Let us write the Clark formula on Wiener space, cf. Ocone ${ }^{16}$ :

$$
F=E[F]+\int_{0}^{\infty} E\left[D_{t}^{B} F \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}^{0}\right] d B_{t}
$$

and notice that by definition of the adapted projection, cf. Revuz-Yor ${ }^{23}$,

$$
E\left[D_{\nu_{0}(t)}^{B} F \mid \mathcal{F}_{\nu_{0}(t)}^{0}\right]=E\left[D_{.}^{B} F \mid \mathcal{F}_{.}^{0}\right]\left(\nu_{0}(t)\right) .
$$

The same argument holds in case $d>0$ for the predictable projection.

Remark. Consider the space $\Omega=([-1,1], d x / 2)^{\otimes \infty}$. Denote by $\theta_{k}$ the $k$ th canonical projection, and by $Y$ the process

$$
Y_{t}=\sum_{k \geq 0} 1_{\left[2 k+1+\theta_{k}, \infty[ \right.}, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}_{+},
$$

with compensator

$$
d \nu(t)=\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{2 k+2-t} 1_{\left[2 k, 2 k+1+\theta_{k}[ \right.}(t) d t .
$$

The hypothesis H2 is not fulfilled. If, instead of $d \nu_{t}$ we use $d t$ as a compensator, it can be shown, cf. Privault ${ }^{18}$ that the definition of the gradient should be

$$
\tilde{D} F=\sum_{k \geq 0}-\left(\left(1-\theta_{k}\right) 1_{\left.12 k, 2 k+1+\theta_{k}\right]}-\left(1+\theta_{k}\right) 1_{\left[2 k+1+\theta_{k}, 2 k+2\right]}\right) \partial_{k} f\left(\theta_{0}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right),
$$

which is a variation of Def. 2. Let $\tilde{\delta}$ denote the adjoint of $\tilde{D}$ in $L^{2}(B) \otimes L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)$. The spectral decomposition of $\tilde{\delta} \tilde{D}$ is then given by the Legendre polynomials evaluated in the $\theta_{k}$ 's, instead of the Laguerre or Hermite polynomials as in Prop. 8.
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